

**CONNECTICUT RESOURCES RECOVERY AUTHORITY**

**FOUR HUNDRED AND SEVENTY-SIXTH      MAY 27, 2010**

A regular meeting of the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority Board of Directors was held on Thursday, May 27, 2010, in the Board Room at CRRA Headquarters, 100 Constitution Plaza, Hartford, Connecticut. Those present in Hartford were:

Chairman Michael Pace

Directors:      David B. Damer  
                    Timothy Griswold  
                    Michael Jarjura (present beginning at 10:34 a.m.)  
                    Dot Kelly  
                    Mark Lauretti (present beginning at 10:40 a.m.)  
                    Theodore Martland  
                    Nicholas Mullane  
                    Linda Savitsky  
                    Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport Project Ad-Hoc  
                    Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport Project Ad-Hoc  
                    Warren Howe, Wallingford Project Ad-Hoc (present until 11:48 a.m.)

Present from CRRA:

Tom Kirk, President  
Jim Bolduc, Chief Financial Officer  
Peter Egan, Director of Environmental Affairs & Development  
Bettina Ferguson  
Paul Nonnenmacher, Director of Public Affairs

Also present were: Mel Simon of Cohn, Birnbaum & Shea; Doug Pelham of Cohn, Birnbaum & Shea; Jim Sandler, Esq., of Sandler & Mara; John Pizzimenti of USA Hauling & Recycling; Fred Krug, Esq., of Matzkin Krug & Danen; Vincent LoRusso of Bart LoRusso & Sons; Hunter Plant of Covanta; Vic Fortin of Covanta; and Kristen Greig, Acting Board Secretary

Chairman Pace called the meeting to order at 9:35 a.m. and said that a quorum was present.

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

Chairman Pace requested that everyone stand for the Pledge of Allegiance, whereupon the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

**PUBLIC PORTION**

Chairman Pace said that the agenda allowed for a public portion in which the Board would accept written testimony and allow individuals to speak for a limit of three minutes.

Mr. Krug introduced himself and informed the Board that he is representing Bart LoRusso & Sons regarding a property adjacent to the Waterbury landfill. Mr. Krug stated that his client is interested in purchasing the property from CRRA. He showed the Board a map and gave a brief background of the property. He explained that the property in question is not part of the landfill and is being used by his client for storage of heavy equipment and materials from its rock-crushing/excavation activities.

Mr. Krug noted that it is his understanding that about three years ago the property was appraised at \$432,000. He said Bart LoRusso & Sons made a no-contingency offer to CRRA last week of \$250,000 and that upon receipt of a title search, a closing could take place within 10 days. He distributed a copy of letter to the Board detailing the terms of the offer.

Mr. Krug said there are several reasons that his client believes this is a fair offer for the property. First, property values in Waterbury have declined approximately 30% to 40% in the last three years. Second, the transaction would not require any broker's fees, which could be substantial. Finally, the physical characteristics, a Connecticut Light & Power right-of-way running through the property, and the difficulty of access for utilities limit the demand for this type of property. He said he believes the offer would be attractive and fair because CRRA would not have any continuing responsibility for the property and there could be a quick closing.

Mr. Krug said owning the property would be an advantage to his client because the business could continue to store its equipment and materials there. Mr. Krug said he met with CRRA and was told that it was not likely that the Board would approve the offer, and then sent another letter to CRRA further clarifying his client's position, a copy of which he also presented to the Board. He said the letter suggested that CRRA offer the property for sale and if there were no buyers willing to pay the more than his client's offering price that CRRA should reconsider his client's offer, but if CRRA got an offer in excess of \$250,000, which he said that he thought was unlikely, his client would still have a right of first refusal so it is conceivable that his client could match a higher offer at that time.

Mr. Krug noted that of immediate importance is his client's equipment currently on the property. He said when CRRA closed the landfill it notified his client to remove its equipment, which was difficult because at that time it was cold and some of the heavy machinery was frozen into the ground. He acknowledged that CRRA extended the time for removal of the equipment, and with that deadline now approaching, if CRRA approves the offer, the equipment will not have to be moved. He said that if his client has to remove the equipment in June, his client will no longer be interested in purchasing the property, but if CRRA wishes to seek another buyer, his client would be willing to pay a monthly rent while that process takes place.

Mr. Krug asked the Board's consideration and requested an extension to maintain the equipment on the property while the Board reviews his client's offer.

Upon Chairman Pace's request, Mr. Krug used the map to clarify the property lines and acreage. Chairman Pace noted that Bart LoRusso owns the property adjacent to the parcel under discussion and asked whether joining the two properties would increase the value of the land. Mr. LoRusso said it would.

Chairman Pace asked how the property would be used. Mr. LoRusso responded that he would continue the current rock-crushing operation, and that being able to continue the operation and to keep the equipment there is what makes the property valuable to the company. Director Griswold asked

whether rock-crushing is a permitted activity on the property with its close proximity to residential areas. Mr. LoRusso said the activity is permitted and his company has maintained a good relationship with the neighbors.

Chairman Pace said a decision would not be made by June 1 and asked about the monthly rent offer. Mr. LoRusso said the offer is \$2,000 per month. Director Lauretti asked how this would affect CRRA’s responsibility to maintain the closed landfill. Mr. LoRusso said this parcel is outside of the closed landfill area.

Mr. LoRusso requested the Board’s consideration and gave the Board a detailed history of his company’s relationship with CRRA.

**APPROVAL OF THE APRIL 29, 2010, REGULAR BOARD MEETING MINUTES**

Chairman Pace requested a motion to approve the April 29, 2010, regular meeting minutes. Director Damer made the motion which was seconded by Director Martland. Director Damer noted that there were a few typographical errors to be corrected.

The motion to approve the minutes was approved. Chairman Pace, Director Damer, Director Griswold, Director Kelly, Director Martland, Director Mullane, Director Howe, and Director Tillinger voted yes. Director Savitsky and Director Edwards abstained as they were not present at the meeting.

<b>Directors</b>	<b>Aye</b>	<b>Nay</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Chairman Pace	X		
David Damer	X		
Timothy Griswold	X		
Dot Kelly	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Nicholas Mullane	X		
Linda Savitsky			X
<b>Ad-Hocs</b>			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport			X
Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport	X		
Warren Howe, Wallingford	X		

**APPROVAL OF THE MAY 11, 2010, EMERGENCY BOARD MEETING MINUTES**

Chairman Pace requested a motion to approve the May 11, 2010, Emergency Board Meeting minutes. Director Savitsky made the motion which was seconded by Director Martland.

The motion to approve the minutes was approved. Chairman Pace, Director Damer, Director Griswold, Director Kelly, Director Martland, Director Mullane, and Director Savitsky voted yes. Director Edwards, Director Howe and Director Tillinger abstained.

<b>Directors</b>	<b>Aye</b>	<b>Nay</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Chairman Pace	<b>X</b>		
David Damer	<b>X</b>		
Timothy Griswold	<b>X</b>		
Dot Kelly	<b>X</b>		
Theodore Martland	<b>X</b>		
Nicholas Mullane	<b>X</b>		
Linda Savitsky	<b>X</b>		
<b>Ad-Hocs</b>			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport			<b>X</b>
Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport			<b>X</b>
Warren Howe, Wallingford			<b>X</b>

**RESOLUTION REGARDING PROPOSED REFUNDING OF OUTSTANDING SOUTHEAST PROJECT RESOURCE RECOVERY BONDS**

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the above-referenced item. Director Griswold made the following motion:

**WHEREAS** the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority (the “Authority”) acted as conduit issuer to the Southeast Regional Resources Recovery Authority’s (“SCRRA”) \$87,650,000 Resources Recovery Revenue Bonds (1998 Series A) (the “1998 Bonds”) under an Indenture of Mortgage and Trust dated December 1, 1985, as supplemented and amended (the “Trust Indenture”); and

**WHEREAS**, the 1998 Bonds are currently outstanding in the amount of \$39,855,000 with a final maturity date of November 15, 2015; and

**WHEREAS** under current market conditions, a refunding of the outstanding 1998 Bonds would result in a net present value savings of approximately \$1,600,000; and

**WHEREAS** on May 18, 2010, the SCRRA Board of Directors approved a resolution to proceed with a refunding of the 1998 Bonds (see attached); and

**WHEREAS** the Authority will serve as the conduit issuer of the proposed refunding bonds; and

**WHEREAS** a refunding of the 1998 Bonds will require the Authority to seek and the Board to select an underwriter to market the proposed refunding bonds; and

**WHEREAS** a refunding of the 1998 Bonds will require the Authority to enter into an agreement with the Trustee under a supplemental Trust Indenture to provide for the payment of the proposed refunding bonds; and

**WHEREAS** prior to entering into any supplemental Trust Indenture, the Authority’s Board must adopt a resolution authorizing the issuance of additional bonds and the State Treasurer must also approve the issuance of any bonds of the Authority; and

**WHEREAS** Management of the Authority recommends a refunding of the outstanding 1998 Bonds.

**NOW, THEREFORE**, it is

**RESOLVED:** That the Board hereby authorizes proceeding with a refunding of the 1998 Bonds and that Management will return to the Board for final approval and authorization prior to the issuance of the proposed refunding bonds is to occur.

The motion was seconded by Director Martland.

Mr. Bolduc explained that the market has improved to the point where a refunding of the outstanding SCRRA bonds can be done. With current rates in the 5% range, Mr. Bolduc stated that the refunding would be in the high 1% range. Mr. Bolduc pointed out that the SCRRA Board has approved the refunding and it will generate approximately \$1.6 million in net savings over the remaining life of the bonds, which will expire in 2015.

Mr. Bolduc said the refunding would require assistance from bond counsel and a financial advisor and added that a Request for Proposals (“RFP”) for an underwriter is already under way. The Finance Committee will conduct interviews for underwriter candidates who respond to the RFP on June 17. He noted that CRRA would work with Covanta and the State Treasurer to obtain required documents and approvals to complete the transaction and maintain the SCRF (Special Capital Reserve Fund of the State of Connecticut) backing, which will be critical to rating agencies.

Ms. Ferguson said timing the refunding is critical to be able to benefit from the current low rates so a fast-track approach is being taken. She said that usually an underwriter is hired and then underwriter’s counsel will develop the marketing documents known as the Preliminary Official Statement. In this case, while CRRA has the RFP for an underwriter out, CRRA’s bond counsel is concurrently developing the Preliminary Official Statement so by the time CRRA selects an underwriter, the marketing documents will be approximately 90% complete. The underwriter will then complete the marketing documents, which will allow the bonds to go into the market in July.

Chairman Pace asked whether the refunding will extend the maturity of the bonds. Ms. Ferguson responded that the bonds' maturity date will not change. Chairman Pace asked whether there is any indication of how the bonds will be received. Ms. Ferguson said CRRA has already received 11 Notices of Interest for the RFP and added that she believes that because CRRA has not been to the bond market in nearly ten years, there will be a lot of interest.

Director Edwards pointed out that during the Bridgeport Project refunding there were some issues associated with the shared revenue stream with Wheelabrator and asked whether this refunding would pose the same issue. Ms. Ferguson said the financial benefit will flow to SCRRA, but 88% of the bonds are on Covanta’s books, so Covanta will benefit by its debt service exposure being reduced.

Director Griswold how much the transaction is expected to cost. Ms. Ferguson said conservative estimates place the underwriter’s fee at about 85 basis points and between \$250,000 and \$300,000 for cost of issuance. She added that the anticipated savings is net of those costs.

Director Griswold asked about the timeframe for this process. Ms. Ferguson said management expects to go into the market the third week of July and to close in the second week of August.

Director Savitsky noted that the Finance Committee thoroughly discussed the matter and feels comfortable with the decision to proceed, and that the Finance Committee meeting schedule has been adjusted so Committee members can participate in the interviews for underwriters.

Ms. Ferguson added that the actual refunding would be brought before the Board for approval in June.

The motion was approved unanimously by roll call. Chairman Pace, Director Damer, Director Griswold, Director Kelly, Director Martland, Director Mullane, and Director Savitsky voted yes.

<b>Directors</b>	<b>Aye</b>	<b>Nay</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Chairman Pace	X		
David Damer	X		
Timothy Griswold	X		
Dot Kelly	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Nicholas Mullane	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
<b>Ad-Hocs</b>			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport			
Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport			
Warren Howe, Wallingford			

**RESOLUTION REGARDING CONSULTING, ENGINEERING AND LAND SURVEYING SERVICES**

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the above-referenced item. Director Martland made the following motion:

**RESOLVED:** That the President is hereby authorized to enter into contracts with the following firms and individuals for Consulting, Engineering and Land Surveying Services, substantially as discussed and presented at this meeting:

**General Engineering Services**

AECOM  
 B. L. Companies Connecticut, Inc.  
 Diversified Technology Consultants, Inc.  
 HRP Associates, Inc.  
 URS Corporation AES  
 van Zelm, Heywood & Shadford, Inc.

**Environmental Consulting and Engineering Services**

Blue River Engineering LLC  
 HRP Associates, Inc.  
 Kleinschmidt Associates  
 Langan Engineering & Environmental Services, Inc.  
 Leggette, Brashears & Graham, Inc.  
 Loureiro Engineering Associates, Inc.  
 Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.  
 M. I. Holzman & Associates  
 O'Reilly, Talbot & Okun Associates, Inc.  
 TRC Environmental Corporation

**Resource Recovery and Recycling Consulting and Engineering Services**

CalRecovery, Inc.  
 Dvirka & Bartilucci Consulting Engineers  
 Grillo Engineering Co.  
 Hatch Mott McDonald  
 HDR Engineering, Inc.  
 RRT Design & Construction  
 van Zelm, Heywood & Shadford, Inc.

**Landfill Consulting and Engineering Services**

Anchor Engineering Services, Inc.  
 Cornerstone Environmental Group, LLC  
 Fuss & O'Neill, Inc.  
 GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc.  
 Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.  
 SCS Engineers, PC  
 TRC Environmental Corporation

**Land Surveying Services**

BSC Group  
 Conklin & Soroka, Inc.

**Solid Waste Consulting Services**

Alternative Resources, Inc.  
 CalRecovery, Inc.  
 Gershman, Brickner, & Bratton, Inc.  
 HDR Engineering, Inc.

**Electric Marketing, Procurement and Consulting Services**

Essex Partnership LLC  
 Navigant Consulting, Inc  
 Power Advisory LLC

The motion was seconded by Director Kelly.

Mr. Egan said CRRA's contracts for professional and technical services cannot run longer than three years, so every three years CRRA issues a Request for Qualifications ("RFQ") to identify contractors to be placed in a "stable" of qualified engineering and environmental consulting firms. He said CRRA uses these firms on a task-specific basis and the RFQ qualifies the firms and establishes hourly billing rates.

Mr. Egan said the current contracts expire on June 30 so CRRA initiated the RFQ process to develop the presented list of firms. He said these contracts have no dollar value because the work is done on a task-specific basis under Requests for Services.

Chairman Pace asked whether the list includes any firms that are new to CRRA. Mr. Egan said 10 to 12 are new to CRRA. Director Damer noted that CRRA evaluated 53 RFQs in up to 12 different categories and commended Mr. Egan on the thorough evaluation. Mr. Griswold pointed out that only 33 of the 53 firms were selected.

The motion was approved unanimously by roll call. Chairman Pace, Director Damer, Director Griswold, Vice-Chairman Jarjura, Director Kelly, Director Martland, Director Mullane, and Director Savitsky voted yes.

<b>Directors</b>	<b>Aye</b>	<b>Nay</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Chairman Pace	X		
David Damer	X		
Timothy Griswold	X		
Michael Jarjura	X		
Dot Kelly	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Nicholas Mullane	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
<b>Ad-Hocs</b>			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport			
Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport			
Warren Howe, Wallingford			

**RESOLUTION REGARDING TRANSFER FUNDS FROM SHELTON POST-CLOSURE RESERVE TO NEW FINANCIAL ASSURANCE MECHANISM**

Chairman Pace requested a motion regarding the above-captioned item. Director Griswold made the following motion:

**WHEREAS**, on June 17, 1999, the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority’s (the “Authority”) Board of Directors (the “Board”) approved a resolution authorizing the transfer of \$2,734,000 to the Shelton Landfill Post-Closure Reserve; and

**WHEREAS**, each succeeding fiscal year’s adopted budget included contributions to the Shelton Landfill Post-Closure Reserve in order to provide sufficient funds for monitoring and maintenance of the landfill for 30 years such that as of April 30, 2010, the balance in the Shelton Landfill Post-Closure Reserve was \$12,408,638; and

**WHEREAS**, due to a change in the Permit for the Shelton Landfill, a new financial assurance mechanism must be in place by June 1, 2010; and

**WHEREAS**, the Authority reviewed all options available and has determined that a Post-Closure Trust Fund, as the mechanism to demonstrate financial assurance, is the lowest-cost alternative; and

**WHEREAS**, the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection requires that funding equal to the post-closure cost estimate through the end of fiscal year 2020 be placed in a Post-Closure Trust Fund.

**NOW, THEREFORE**, it is



**RESOLVED:** That \$5,671,840 of funds in the Shelton Landfill Post-Closure STIF Reserve be transferred to U.S. Bank for deposit in a trust fund used to demonstrate financial assurance; and

**FURTHER RESOLVED:** That the President it authorized to execute a Trust Agreement and associated letter agreement with U.S. Bank, which agreements are associated with the trust fund; and

**FURTHER RESOLVED:** That the remaining funds in the Shelton Landfill Post-Closure Reserve continue to be maintained in this reserve account to be used only for activities associated with post-closure care and maintenance obligations at the Shelton landfill for the remaining years of post-closure activity scheduled through fiscal year 2031.

The motion was seconded by Director Martland.

Mr. Egan said a new resolution which specifically authorizes the President to execute the agreement was being distributed.

Mr. Egan explained that, for years, CRRA has had a financial mechanism in place to guarantee to the government that CRRA has the financial means in place to manage the Shelton landfill for its 30-year post-closure phase. The mechanism formerly used, the Local Government Financial Test, is no longer available to CRRA so this action would replace that with a trust fund. He said a trust fund is the least costly of the alternatives, which also include insurance policies, surety bonds, and a letter of credit.

Mr. Egan said the trust fund will cost about \$1,500 per year, where other alternatives are based on a percentage of the amount of money set aside for post-closure.

Mr. Egan added that the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection (“DEP”) is not requiring that all of the Shelton landfill funds be placed in the trust fund, only the amount required to get through remaining 10-year term of the permit that was issued last fall. The remaining funds will remain in a Board-restricted reserve account, which funds will be used each year for the operation of the landfill.

Mr. Egan stressed that DEP is the beneficiary of the trust fund, and that the financial assurance mechanism is necessary is to safeguard that there are sufficient funds to continue to operate the landfill in the event the owner or operator goes out of business, goes bankrupt, or is otherwise unable to continue operating the landfill.

Director Edwards asked whether the funds in this account would continue to accrue interest. Mr. Egan said they would and that the initial amount dedicated to the Shelton landfill will remain the same. There would just be two accounts: the trust fund, containing about \$5 million, with the remainder in the reserve account.

The motion was approved unanimously by roll call. Chairman Pace, Director Damer, Director Griswold, Director Jarjura, Director Kelly, Director Lauretti, Director Martland, Director Mullane, and Director Savitsky voted yes.

<b>Directors</b>	<b>Aye</b>	<b>Nay</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Chairman Pace	X		
David Damer	X		
Timothy Griswold	X		
Michael Jarjura	X		
Dot Kelly	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Nicholas Mullane	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
<b>Ad-Hocs</b>			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport	X		
Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport	X		
Warren Howe, Wallingford			

**RESOLUTION REGARDING APPROVAL OF AGREEMENTS FOR LANDFILL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING, LABORATORY ANALYSIS AND REPORTING SERVICES**

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the above-referenced item. Director Martland made the following motion:

**RESOLVED:** That the President of CRRA be authorized to enter into agreements for Environmental Monitoring, Laboratory Analysis and Reporting Services, substantially as presented at this meeting, as follows:

<u>Vendor</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Facility</u>
Anchor Engineering Services, Inc.	\$259,998	Hartford Landfill
GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc.	\$266,865	Shelton Landfill

The motion was seconded by Director Kelly.

Director Damer said contracts for environmental monitoring of all four landfills are expiring, but only two require Board action because they are worth over \$50,000, and that the contracts are all within budget.

Mr. Egan said these contracts are also bid out every three years, with the exception of the contract for the Waterbury landfill. The cost of that work is only \$4,000 to \$5,000, so that work would be assigned through a Request for Services to one of the engineering firms under contract.

Mr. Egan pointed out the cost for the Wallingford Project for the next three years is less than the cost of the existing contract that is expiring on June 30 and explained that the decrease is due to a permitting change that reduces the frequency of required monitoring. He said he expects to see a similar reduction in frequency for the Shelton landfill during the current contract term.

Director Griswold asked whether this work is currently being done. Mr. Egan said it is, but noted that new firms will monitor all the landfills, though some of the firms have monitored the landfills in past cycles.

The motion was approved unanimously by roll call. Chairman Pace, Director Damer, Director Griswold, Director Jarjura, Director Kelly, Director Lauretti, Director Martland, Director Mullane, and Director Savitsky voted yes. Director Howe and Director Tillinger voted yes concerning the Shelton landfill.

<b>Directors</b>	<b>Aye</b>	<b>Nay</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Chairman Pace	X		
David Damer	X		
Timothy Griswold	X		
Michael Jarjura	X		
Dot Kelly	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Nicholas Mullane	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
<b>Ad-Hocs</b>			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport	X		
Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport	X		
Warren Howe, Wallingford			

**RESOLUTION REGARDING AN AGREEMENT FOR METALS RECOVERY AND MARKETING SERVICES WITH WTE RECYCLING, INC.**

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the above-referenced item. Director Griswold made the following motion:

**RESOLVED:** The President be authorized to enter into a contract with wTe Recycling, Inc., for the transportation, processing, and marketing of metals generated at the Mid-Connecticut Resources Recovery Facility, substantially as presented and discussed at this meeting.

The motion was seconded by Director Martland.

Director Damer said this item came before the Policies & Procurement Committee, management was asked to look into this further. He said wTe is the current vendor responsible for taking the recyclable metals from the shredder at the Mid-Connecticut facility, and has been the only vendor to perform these services except for one firm that, after a short period of time, decided it was not capable of performing the task. He said that previous public solicitations for this work have attracted no other bidders except for that one short-term vendor. He said wTe has presented a good proposal for a new three-year extension of the contract.

Director Damer said there would be the normal profit-sharing plus an additional \$5.00-per-ton increase to CRRA.

Mr. Egan said metal taken out of the front-end shredding operation of the Waste Processing Facility is heavily entrained with garbage. He said wTe recycles the metal and returns the garbage and can do this because it has more robust permits than other scrap metal yards in Rhode Island, Massachusetts, New York and New Jersey. He said CRRA contacted environmental protection agencies in those states to confirm that there are no facilities in their states that have permits that would allow them to both recycle scrap metal and accept garbage, and that since wTe has a special capability in this area the contract would be awarded on that basis.

The motion was approved unanimously by roll call. Chairman Pace, Director Damer, Director Griswold, Director Jarjura, Director Kelly, Director Lauretti, Director Martland, Director Mullane, and Director Savitsky voted yes.

<b>Directors</b>	<b>Aye</b>	<b>Nay</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Chairman Pace	X		
David Damer	X		
Timothy Griswold	X		
Michael Jarjura	X		
Dot Kelly	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Nicholas Mullane	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
<b>Ad-Hocs</b>			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport			
Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport			
Warren Howe, Wallingford			

**RESOLUTION REGARDING STANDING SEAM METAL ROOF RETROFIT PROJECT AT THE ELLINGTON TRANSFER STATION**

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the above-referenced item. Vice-Chairman Jarjura made the following motion:

**RESOLVED:** That the President is hereby authorized to execute an agreement for the standing seam metal roof retrofit project at the Ellington Transfer Station with Beaulieu Company, LLC, substantially as presented and discussed at this meeting.

The motion was seconded by Director Martland.

Director Damer said this transfer station is now 20 years old. He said a roof inspector has determined that the roof needs replacement and has recommended this particular 30-year standing-seam metal roof, which can be installed on top of the existing roof. He said competitive bids were solicited and several of the bidders recommended this system, and when bidders were asked for alternative systems, the bidders could not produce documentation showing that any alternative was equivalent.

Director Lauretti asked about the size of the roof. Mr. Egan estimated that it is 2,000 to 3,000 square feet. Director Lauretti said this proposal seems expensive and asked about the probability that the building will still exist 30 years from now and why CRRA wouldn't consider a 20-year roof for a fraction of the cost. Mr. Egan said a consulting engineer determined the existing roof cannot be repaired and recommended this particular retrofit. Mr. Kirk added that, from a strategic standpoint, it has always been CRRA's position that the transfer stations are long-term investments and CRRA has no reason to believe that there will not be a need for transfer capability from Ellington in 30 years.

Chairman Pace said his personal experience has shown a negligible difference between 20-year and 30-year roofs. Director Lauretti said that depends on the material, whether it is a shingle roof or a metal roof. Vice-Chairman Jarjura noted that the difference may be in the application and suggested that there is a possibility that a lower-cost shingle roof might not be able to be installed over the existing roof, which would add the cost of removal.

The motion was approved by roll call. Chairman Pace, Director Damer, Director Griswold, Director Jarjura, Director Kelly, Director Martland, Director Mullane, and Director Savitsky voted yes. Director Lauretti voted nay.

<b>Directors</b>	<b>Aye</b>	<b>Nay</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Chairman Pace	X		
David Damer	X		
Timothy Griswold	X		
Michael Jarjura	X		
Dot Kelly	X		
Mark Lauretti		X	
Theodore Martland	X		
Nicholas Mullane	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
<b>Ad-Hocs</b>			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport			
Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport			
Warren Howe, Wallingford			

**RESOLUTION REGARDING PURCHASE OF A RUBBER-TIRED WHEEL LOADER FOR THE MID-CONNECTICUT WASTE PROCESSING FACILITY**

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the above-referenced item. Director Martland made the following motion:

**RESOLVED:** That the President is hereby authorized to execute an agreement for the purchase of a Rubber-Tired Wheel Loader from Tyler Equipment Co. to be used at the Mid-Connecticut Waste Processing Facility, substantially as presented and discussed at this meeting.

The motion was seconded by Director Griswold.

Director Damer said an existing rubber-tired wheel loader needs to be replaced and that management has again done an excellent job in evaluating the full life-cycle cost of replacing the vehicle. He noted the cost includes a three-year preventative maintenance program.

Vice-Chairman Jarjura asked whether the vehicle being replaced would have any salvage value. Mr. Kirk responded that it would be placed up for auction through the State Department of Administrative Services.

Director Griswold asked what brands of loaders CRRA currently owns and asked whether CRRA has tried to purchase the same brand so parts can be shared. Mr. Kirk said that there are very few similarities in brands and very little opportunity for part change-outs, and parts are often proprietary and can only be obtained through the dealer.

The motion was approved unanimously by roll call. Chairman Pace, Director Damer, Director Griswold, Director Jarjura, Director Kelly, Director Lauretti, Director Martland, Director Mullane, and Director Savitsky voted yes.

<b>Directors</b>	<b>Aye</b>	<b>Nay</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Chairman Pace	X		
David Damer	X		
Timothy Griswold	X		
Michael Jarjura	X		
Dot Kelly	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Nicholas Mullane	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
<b>Ad-Hocs</b>			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport			
Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport			
Warren Howe, Wallingford			

**RESOLUTION REGARDING MAINTENANCE AND REPAIRS FOR THE RAILROAD TRACK GRADE CROSSING ON MAXIM ROAD, HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT**

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the above-referenced item. Director Damer made the following motion:

**RESOLVED:** That the President is hereby authorized to execute an agreement for maintenance and repairs for the railroad track grade crossing on Maxim Road, Hartford, Connecticut, with RailWorks Track Services, Inc., substantially as presented and discussed at this meeting.

The motion was seconded by Director Kelly.

Director Damer said when CRRA received the permit from DEP and the City of Harford, it agreed to accept financial responsibility to maintain the railroad track grade crossing on Maxim Road

and it now needs repairs. He said CRRA publicly solicited the project and received two bids, and is recommending the lowest qualified bidder.

The motion was approved unanimously by roll call. Chairman Pace, Director Damer, Director Griswold, Director Jarjura, Director Kelly, Director Lauretti, Director Martland, Director Mullane, and Director Savitsky voted yes.

<b>Directors</b>	<b>Aye</b>	<b>Nay</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Chairman Pace	X		
David Damer	X		
Timothy Griswold	X		
Michael Jarjura	X		
Dot Kelly	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Nicholas Mullane	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
<b>Ad-Hocs</b>			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport			
Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport			
Warren Howe, Wallingford			

**EXECUTIVE SESSION**

Chairman Pace requested a motion to enter into Executive Session to discuss pending litigation, real estate acquisition, pending RFPs, and personnel matters with appropriate staff. The motion, made by Director Savitsky and seconded by Director Martland, was approved unanimously. Chairman Pace asked the following people join the Directors in the Executive Session:

- Tom Kirk
- Jim Bolduc
- Peter Egan
- David Bodendorf (present until 11:48 a.m.)
- Doug Pelham, Esq. (by telephone)
- Mel Simon, Esq. (by telephone)

The Executive Session began at 11:05 a.m. and concluded at 1:19 p.m. Chairman Pace noted that no votes were taken in Executive Session.

Director Howe was in the Executive Session until 11:48 a.m. Directors Edwards and Tillinger were in the Executive Session from 12:52 to 1:19 p.m.

The meeting was reconvened at 1:19 p.m., the door to the Board room was opened, and the Board secretary and all members of the public (of which there were none) were invited back in for the continuation of public session.

The motion was approved unanimously by roll call. Chairman Pace, Director Damer, Director Griswold, Director Jarjura, Director Kelly, Director Lauretti, Director Martland, Director Mullane, Director Savitsky, Director Edwards, Director Howe, and Director Tillnger voted yes.

<b>Directors</b>	<b>Aye</b>	<b>Nay</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Chairman Pace	X		
David Damer	X		
Timothy Griswold	X		
Michael Jarjura	X		
Dot Kelly	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Nicholas Mullane	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
<b>Ad-Hocs</b>			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport	X		
Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport	X		
Warren Howe, Wallingford	X		

**RESOLUTION REGARDING FY 2011 PROJECTED LEGAL EXPENDITURES**

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the above-referenced item. Director Savitsky made the following motion:

**WHEREAS**, CRRA has negotiated three-year Legal Service Agreements with various law firms for the provision of legal services from July 1, 2008, through June 30, 2011; and

**WHEREAS**, CRRA now seeks Board authorization for projected legal expenditures during the third year of the term of said Agreements;

**NOW THEREFORE**, it is **RESOLVED**: That the following amounts be authorized for projected legal fees to be incurred during fiscal year 2011:

Firm	Amount
Brown Rudnick	\$255,000
Cohn Birnbaum & Shea	\$55,000
Halloran & Sage	\$400,000 subject to quarterly review
Heneghan Kennedy & Doyle	\$36,000
Hinckley, Allen & Snyder	\$300,000
Kainen, Escalera & McHale	\$250,000



McCarter & English	\$85,000
Pepe & Hazard	\$235,000
Pullman & Comley	\$120,000
Sidley Austin	\$120,000

**Further RESOLVED:** That the President be authorized to expend up to \$20,000 from the Landfill Development Reserve Account for payment for legal fees incurred in fiscal year 2011 in connection with the Authority’s suspension of its efforts to develop a new ash landfill in the State of Connecticut;

**Further RESOLVED:** That the President be authorized to expend up to \$85,000 from the Post-Litigation Reserve Account for payment of legal expenses incurred in fiscal year 2011 in connection with the Enron Global litigation continuing under the aegis of the Attorney General; and

**Further RESOLVED:** That the President be authorized to expend up to \$25,000 from the Bridgeport Post-Project Reserve Account for payment for legal fees incurred in fiscal year 2011 in connection with continuing Bridgeport Project litigation.

The motion was seconded by Vice-Chairman Jarjura.

The motion was approved unanimously by roll call. Chairman Pace, Director Damer, Director Griswold, Director Jarjura, Director Kelly, Director Lauretti, Director Martland, Director Mullane, and Director Savitsky voted yes.

<b>Directors</b>	<b>Aye</b>	<b>Nay</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Chairman Pace	X		
David Damer	X		
Timothy Griswold	X		
Michael Jarjura	X		
Dot Kelly	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Nicholas Mullane	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
<b>Ad-Hocs</b>			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport			
Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport			
Warren Howe, Wallingford			

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A SETTLEMENT AND SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT WITH CWPM, LLC**

Chairman Pace requested a motion on the above-referenced item. Vice-Chairman Jarjura made the following motion:

**RESOLVED:** That the President is hereby authorized to execute a Settlement and Supplemental Agreement with CWPM, LLC, substantially on the terms presented and discussed at this meeting, and to take all actions and do all other things necessary to carry out the said agreement.

The motion was seconded by Director Martland.

The motion was approved unanimously by roll call. Chairman Pace, Director Damer, Director Griswold, Director Jarjura, Director Kelly, Director Lauretti, Director Martland, Director Mullane, and Director Savitsky voted yes.

<b>Directors</b>	<b>Aye</b>	<b>Nay</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Chairman Pace	X		
David Damer	X		
Timothy Griswold	X		
Michael Jarjura	X		
Dot Kelly	X		
Mark Lauretti	X		
Theodore Martland	X		
Nicholas Mullane	X		
Linda Savitsky	X		
<b>Ad-Hocs</b>			
Stephen Edwards, Bridgeport			
Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport			

**ADJOURNMENT**

Chairman Pace requested a motion to adjourn the meeting. The motion to adjourn was made by Director Martland and seconded by Vice-Chairman Jarjura and was approved unanimously.

There being no other business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 1:21 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Kristen B. Greig